

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Approximately Nake of AZENTS AND TRADEMARKS Works and DOT 19970

 Washington Def. 2023
awa copto gos

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO		
09 914,532	08/30/2004	Heiko Maas	213127US0	7833	,	
22850	590					
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER				
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			DANG, THUAN D		
			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	1 3	
			1764			
			DATE MAILED: 04-29-2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/914.532	MAAS ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Thuan D. Dang	1764		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress	
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this a I) a timely filed amendment	pplication. A proper repl which places the applica	ly to a ation in	
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set later than SIX MONTHS from the S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS edate on which the petition under of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for fice later than three months after the	mailing date of the final reject OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the apping amount of the fee. The apping reply originally set in the final	tion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension of Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:			
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or sea	arch (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or si	implifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claim	ns.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
4 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed	amendment	
5 ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: <u>th</u>			T place the	
6 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which wer	re newly	
7 🖾 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: none.				
Claim(s) objected to: none.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8  The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) d	isapproved by the Exam	niner.	
9  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	·	
10. Other:		of the Committee of the		

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1764





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment of claim 1 by adding limitations "carried out continuously in the liquid phase" from claim 5, "from 10 to 30" from claim 2. "a throughput of" and "through the catalyst in a single pass", and the amendment of claim 2 clearly raises reaw issues.